# Effect of alternative rearing methods on the behaviour and on the growth and slaughter traits of growing rabbits

#### GABRIELLA JEKKEL<sup>1</sup>, GÁBOR MILISITS<sup>2</sup> and ISTVÁN NAGY<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Institut for Animal Breeding and Nutrition, Herceghalom, Hungary, <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Animal Science, Kaposvár University, Kaposvár, Hungary

# Abstract

The aim of this study was to compare the behaviour and the production and slaughter traits of growing rabbits reared in different alternative rearing systems and in conventional fattening cages. The experiment was carried out with 312 Pannon White growing rabbits between 5 and 11 weeks of age. According to the pen size, floor type and stocking density 8 experimental groups were created. For analyzing the different behavioural patterns 24 h video recordings were performed weekly by using infrared cameras. The body weight and the feed consumption of the rabbits were also measured weekly. At 11 weeks of age all of the animals were slaughtered and dissected. For the comparison of the behaviour and production of the experimental and control animals the Dunnett-test was used. It was established that the different alternative rearing methods have a significant effect only on the social and stereotype behaviour of the rabbits. The daily weight gain was highest in the control animals, while lowest in the rabbits reared on deep litter. The feed consumption, the feed conversion ratio and the slaughter characteristics were not affected by the rearing method. It was concluded that from the ethological point of view housing rabbits in pens – particularly on deep litter – has a favourable effect on both of the social and stereotype behaviours' frequency. However, when rabbits are reared on deep litter, some consumption of the litter material should be calculated, but it does not decrease the pellet consumption and the final liveweight of the animals significantly.

Keywords: rabbit, growth, rearing method, behaviour, production, slaughter

## Zusammenfassung

### Einfluss verschiedener Haltungsformen auf Verhalten, Wachstum- und Schlachtmerkmale junger Mastkaninchen

Es wurden Verhaltens- Wachstums- und Schlachtmerkmale von 312 jungen Kaninchen der Rasse Pannon Weiße verglichen Die Tiere wurden in der 5. bis 11. Woche bei unterschiedlichen Haltungssystemen bzw. dem konventionellen Käfig gehalten. Die Versuchskäfige unterschieden sich in ihrer Größe, der Bodengestaltung und der Besatzdichte. Wöchentlich erfolgten sowohl die Tierwägungen als auch die 24 Stunden Infrarot Videokameraaufnahmen zur Verhaltensbeobachtung. Im Alter von 11 Wochen wurden die Tiere geschlachtet. Es konnte festgestellt werden, dass die verschiedenen Haltungsformen signifikant Sozial- und andere Verhaltensparameter beeinflussten. Die tägliche Gewichtszunahme war am höchsten in der Kontrollgruppe und am niedrigsten in der Gruppe mit Einstreuhaltung. Sowohl Futterverbrauch, Futterverwertung als auch die Schlachtmerkmale wurden durch die Aufzuchtmethoden nicht beeinflusst. Günstig auf die Verhaltensmerkmale wirkten sich vor allem bei Einstreu die größeren Käfige aus. Der geringe Verzehr von Einstreu beeinflusste nicht signifikant den Pelletverbrauch oder das Schlachtgewicht der Kaninchen.

Schlüsselwörter: Kaninchen, Mast, Aufzuchtmethode, Verhalten, Wachstum, Schlachtmerkmale

### Introduction

In animal husbandry the requirement of efficient and safe production taking animal welfare and environmental viewpoints into account is gaining importance world-wide. During the last some years also the consumers' demands changed substantially and the meat originated from animals kept in (semi)natural conditions is favoured. Therefore, experiments also in rabbit breeding focused on developing alternative housing systems, which can increase the animal comfort and welfare during the rearing period (MARAI and RASHWAN 2003).

From the animal welfare viewpoint the most often mentioned problems are the too high stocking density and the restriction of locomotion (MANTEUFFEL and PUPPE 1997, TUCHSCHERER and MANTEUFFEL 2000, MANTEUFFEL 2002). According to the animal welfare aspects in rabbit breeding the application of deep litter and rearing in relatively large groups is currently advocated (VERGA 2000). However, under these circumstances rabbits achieve the slaughter weight in a longer period (DAL BOSCO *et al.* 2002, KUSTOS *et al.* 2003b) and the risk of coccidiosis (KUSTOS *et al.* 2003b) and the occurrence of injuries related to aggressive behaviours (PRINCZ *et al.* 2006) are higher.

In former experiments in rabbit breeding different types of alternative rearing methods were already tested for improving the comfort and welfare of the animals. Rabbits' behaviour and production was compared in different size of groups (MORISSE and MAURICE 1997, KUSTOS *et al.* 2003a), in different size of cages and pens (MAERTENS and VAN HERCK 2000, MARAI and RASHWAN 2004), on different types of floor (MORISSE *et al.* 1999, DAL BOSCO *et al.* 2002, TROCINO *et al.* 2004, MATICS *et al.* 2007, PRINCZ *et al.* 2008b, TROCINO *et al.* 2008) and also at different stocking densities (TROCINO *et al.* 2004, PRINCZ *et al.* 2008b, TROCINO *et al.* 2008). Avoiding abnormal behaviours and aggression, gnawing stick, hay, straw and mirror were also put into the cages or pens (SAMBRAUS 1997, HANSEN and BERTHELSEN 2000, MAERTENS *et al.* 2004, VERGA *et al.* 2004, PRINCZ *et al.* 2007, PRINCZ *et al.* 2007, PRINCZ *et al.* 2008a; DALLE ZOTTE *et al.* 2008, ZUCCA *et al.* 2008). These experiments provided some clear tendencies, but many unanswered questions are still remained. In the face of these former data there are no official EU directives for rabbit housing yet, only recommendations are available in some countries (LUZI *et al.* 2006, HOY 2008).

Therefore, the objective of this study was to provide some new informations for preparing the directives by comparing the behaviour and the production and slaughter traits of growing rabbits reared in 8 different alternative rearing systems and in conventional cages.

# Material and methods

#### Experimental animals, environmental conditions

The experiment was carried out at the Kaposvár University, Faculty of Animal Science with altogether 312 Pannon White growing rabbits, in two repetitions. The rabbits were weaned at 5 weeks of age and kept in a closed building – at 16-17°C room temperature and 16 h/day lighting – during the experimental period. The experiment took place between 5 and 11 weeks of age.

According to the pen size, floor type and stocking density the following experimental groups were created:

- large pen ( $100 \times 170$  cm), wire net, 16 rabbits/m<sup>2</sup> stocking density (n=26/repetition)
- large pen (100×170 cm), wire net, 12 rabbits/m<sup>2</sup> stocking density (n=20/repetition)
- small pen (50×170 cm), wire net, 16 rabbits/m<sup>2</sup> stocking density (n=13/repetition)
- small pen ( $50 \times 170$  cm), wire net, 12 rabbits/m<sup>2</sup> stocking density (n=10/repetition)
- small pen (50×170 cm), deep litter, 8 rabbits/m<sup>2</sup> stocking density (n=7/repetition)
- small pen (50×170 cm), deep litter, 12 rabbits/m<sup>2</sup> stocking density (n=10/repetition)
- large pen (100×170 cm), deep litter, 8 rabbits/m<sup>2</sup> stocking density (n=14/repetition)
- large pen (100×170 cm), deep litter, 12 rabbits/m<sup>2</sup> stocking density (n=20/repetition).

Thirty-six rabbits/repetition were placed into conventional cages  $(30 \times 33 \text{ cm}, \text{ wire net floor}, 2 \text{ rabbits/cage}, 16 \text{ rabbits/m}^2)$  as control.

The pens were equipped with a 40 cm long feeder and with two nipple drinkers, the cages with a 30 cm long feeder and with one nipple drinker. Rabbits were fed *ad libitum* with a medicated pellet (10.3 MJ/kg DE, 14.5% crude protein, 2.0% ether extract, 17.5% crude fibre, 50 000 mg/kg Tilmikozin, 0.025% Pulmotil 200) until 9 weeks of age, and with a medicament free commercial pellet (10.6 MJ/kg DE, 16.0% crude protein, 3.0% ether extract, 16.0% crude fibre) thereafter. Drinking water was available continuously from self-drinkers.

#### Measurement of production parameters

The body weight and the feed consumption of the rabbits were recorded weekly. The body weight was measured individually, while the feed consumption per pen or per cage, respectively. Based on the measured data the daily weight gain and the feed conversion ratio were calculated.

#### Behaviour analysis

Using infrared cameras 24 h video recordings were performed weekly, always on the same day. The video recordings were evaluated by recording the behavioural patterns of the rabbits in every 10th minute. The following behavioural patterns were examined: eating, drinking, locomotory behaviours, resting, comfort behaviours, social behaviours, agonistic behaviours, stereotype behaviour and frequency of deep litter consumption (for the rabbits reared on deep litter). According to GUNN and MORTON (1995) and MORISSE and MAURICE (1996) the definitions of the examined behaviour patterns were as follows:

- eating: consumption of feed from the feeder, gnawing the pellet;
- drinking: consumption of water from nipple drinkers;

- locomotory behaviours: any voluntary change of position;
- resting: sleeping, lying at any position, sitting;
- comfort behaviours: any behaviour form connected with the own body of the animal;
- social behaviours: the behaviour forms described at the comfort behaviours conducted on other rabbits;
- agonistic behaviours: biting, picking, scraping, chasing other rabbits, fighting;
- stereotype behaviour: any abnormal and continuously repeating behaviour;
- deep litter consumption: consumption of the litter material.

### Slaughter and dissection

At the end of the experiment – at 11 weeks of age – all of the animals were slaughtered. Prior to the slaughter no fasting was applied. Immediately after the slaughter the hot carcasses – including the head, heart, lungs, liver, kidneys and fat – were measured and then stored at a temperature of  $4^{\circ}$ C for 24 h. Thereafter the chilled carcasses were also measured and the heart, lungs, kidneys, scapular and perirenal fat were removed. The carcasses were then dissected according to the WRSA proposal (BLASCO and OUHAYOUN 1996). The weight of the different organs and body parts was weighted and their ratio to the chilled carcass weight was calculated.

### Statistical analysis

For the statistical evaluation of the differences in the frequencies of the examined behavioural patterns and in the production and slaughter performances between the experimental and control animals the Dunnett-test was used. The statistical analysis was performed by means of the SPSS 10.0 software package (SPSS for Windows 1999).

# **Results and discussion**

### Behavioural patterns

Analysing the eating behaviour of the animals it was concluded that rabbits housed in conventional fattening cages performed this behaviour pattern more frequently (12.26%) than those kept in pens (9.68-11.91%, Table 1).

However, the higher frequency of the eating behaviour of the control animals was statistically not proven at P<0.05 level.

Contrary to eating, the drinking frequency was mainly lower in the control group compared to that of the rabbits reared in pens. However, the difference from the experimental groups was not statistically significant (P>0.05) also in this case.

The occurrence of the locomotory behaviours – except for one occasion – was more frequent for rabbits housed in pens than for the control animals. However, compared to the rabbits housed in conventional fattening cages significantly (P<0.05) higher frequency of the locomotory behaviours could only be detected in that experimental group, where rabbits were reared in a large pen, using a stocking density of 8 rabbits/m<sup>2</sup> and applying deep litter. The reason of this can probably explained by the fact that aggressive animals were found only in this pen.

Table 1

Effect of pen size, floor type and stocking density on the frequency (%) of some behavioural forms of growing rabbits

|                       | Large pen       |           |             |           | Small pen |         |             |         |         |       |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------------|---------|---------|-------|
| Behavioural forms     | Wire net        |           | Deep litter |           | Wire net  |         | Deep litter |         | Control | SE    |
|                       | 12 <sup>1</sup> | 16        | 8           | 12        | 12        | 16      | 8           | 12      |         |       |
| Eating                | 11.82           | 11.91     | 10.71       | 9.68      | 10.66     | 10.89   | 9.89        | 11.11   | 12.26   | 0.276 |
| Drinking              | 1.42            | 1.99      | 2.14        | 2.14      | 2.01      | 2.04    | 1.75        | 1.85    | 1.50    | 0.066 |
| Locomotory behaviours | 4.72            | 4.82      | 6.72**      | 4.36      | 5.73      | 4.90    | 3.26        | 4.70    | 4.13    | 0.206 |
| Resting               | 63.07           | 61.49     | 55.81       | 61.08     | 60.35     | 58.79   | 58.53       | 57.18   | 61.07   | 0.579 |
| Comfort behaviours    | 15.43           | 15.10     | 11.12       | 9.93      | 15.86     | 17.72*  | 10.02       | 11.63   | 11.62   | 0.593 |
| Social behaviours     | 3.03            | 4.41      | 6.86**      | 6.98**    | 5.39      | 5.67    | 7.36***     | 6.82**  | 3.06    | 0.314 |
| Stereotype behaviour  | 0.54**          | * 0.28*** | 0.45**      | * 0.01*** | 0.00***   | 0.00*** | 0.00***     | 0.00*** | 5.88    | 0.260 |

Einfluss von Käfiggröße, Bodengestaltung und Belegungsdichte auf einige Verhaltensmerkmale wachsender Kaninchen

<sup>1</sup>Stocking density (rabbits/m<sup>2</sup>), The difference from the control is significant at \*P<0.05 level, \*\*P<0.01 level, \*\*\*P<0.001 level.

The resting frequency did not differ significantly between the experimental and control groups. However, both in the small and large pens it was observed – although it was not statistically proven (P>0.05) – that the resting behaviour was less frequent on the deep litter than on the wire net floor.

Concerning comfort behaviour it is worth mentioning that rabbits in the control group showed similar frequency (11.62%) to that of rabbits housed in pens on deep litter (9.93-11.63%). The comfort behaviour of the rabbits housed in pens on wire net (15.10-17.72%) greatly exceeded that of the rabbits in the control group. However, significant difference from the control animals could only be detected for those rabbits, which were housed in small pens, on wire net floor, using a stocking density of 16 rabbits/m<sup>2</sup> (P<0.01).

The social behaviour was observed more frequently for the rabbits housed in pens, but significant differences were only found for the groups reared in deep litter. In these groups the frequency of this behavioural form was more than twice higher (6.82-7.36%) than that of the control group (3.06%).

Stereotype behaviour was not observed in the small pens and it was only occasionally recorded in the large pens (0.01-0.54%). On the contrary, in the conventional cages the frequency of this behaviour form was substantial (5.88%) and it was significantly different (P<0.001) from that of the experimental groups.

The frequency of the deep litter consumption varied mainly between 3.75 and 9.72% both in the small and large pens (Figure 1).

Higher values (11.53-12.80%) were only found between 9 and 10 weeks of age, when the rabbits' pellet was changed to medicament free. It was interesting to see that at the beginning of this period the rabbits rather consumed somewhat more from the deep litter and less from the »novel« taste pellet. After the rabbits got used to the medicament free pellet the frequency of the deep litter consumption changed back to its former value (4.69-6.35%).



Figure 1

Effect of pen size and stocking density on the frequency (%) of the deep litter consumption of growing rabbits *Einfluss von Käfiggröße und Besatzdichte auf Einstreuverbrauch in den Versuchsabschnitten* 

#### Feed consumption

Similarly to the eating frequency no significant differences were found in the feed consumption of the experimental and control animals. The daily feed intake of the rabbits ranged between 115 and 130 g in all groups for the whole experimental period.

#### Daily weight gain

During the first week of the experiment – between 5 and 6 weeks of age – the rabbits kept in pens had significantly lower average daily weight gain compared to their counterparts reared in cages (Table 2).

Table 2

Effect of pen size, floor type and stocking density on the daily weight gain (g) of growing rabbits *Einfluss von Käfiggröße, Bodengestaltung und besatzdichte auf die tägliche Gewichtszunahme (g)* 

|           | Large pen        |         |             |          |         |        |             |          |         |      |
|-----------|------------------|---------|-------------|----------|---------|--------|-------------|----------|---------|------|
| Age,weeks | e,weeks Wire net |         | Deep litter |          | Wire    | net    | Deep litter |          | Control | SE   |
|           | 12 <sup>1</sup>  | 16      | 8           | 12       | 12      | 16     | 8           | 12       |         |      |
| 5-6       | 40.63***         | 43.58** | 39.93***    | 39.85*** | 40.90** | 43.03* | 40.15**     | 35.32*** | 52.91   | 0.78 |
| 6-7       | 48.69*           | 50.51** | 38.77       | 42.72    | 46.31   | 49.96* | 38.04       | 41.62    | 42.41   | 0.58 |
| 7-8       | 50.01            | 46.12   | 44.58       | 42.59    | 46.46   | 49.75  | 41.67       | 44.97    | 46.23   | 0.47 |
| 8-9       | 46.61            | 50.05   | 45.89       | 44.90    | 45.27   | 46.42  | 39.17       | 43.97    | 45.93   | 0.55 |
| 9-10      | 42.12            | 34.59*  | 46.69       | 42.44    | 41.56   | 42.60  | 45.19       | 45.30    | 41.62   | 0.58 |
| 10-11     | 37.61            | 34.86   | 41.85       | 40.73    | 40.44   | 34.00  | 42.03       | 38.78    | 38.03   | 0.63 |
| 5-11      | 44.28            | 43.29   | 42.95       | 42.20    | 43.49   | 44.29  | 41.04       | 41.64    | 44.52   | 0.28 |

<sup>1</sup>Stocking density (rabbits/m<sup>2</sup>), The difference from the control is significant at \*P<0.05 level, \*\*P<0.01 level, \*\*\*P<0.001 level.

The control group rabbits' average daily weight gain exceeded 52 g, while rabbits housed in pens only gained between 35.32 and 43.58 g in this period of the experiment.

Between 6 and 7 weeks of age significantly higher weight gain was detected only for the rabbits reared on wire net floor. The rabbits housed on deep litter showed a similar - or a little bit lower - daily weight gain as animals in the control group.

No significant differences were observed between the daily weight gain of the control group rabbits and that of the rabbits housed in pens between the ages of 7 and 9 weeks. However, it was observed that the average daily weight gain of the rabbits kept in deep litter showed lower values compared to that of the rabbits housed in cages.

On the contrary – between 9 and 11 weeks of age – rabbits reared on deep litter had higher daily weight gain than that of the rabbits kept on wire net floor. This can be explained by changing the pellet to medicament free at 9 weeks of age, which caused a decrease in the pellet consumption, which was compensated by the deep litter consumption, where it was available.

During the whole experiment the highest and lowest average daily weight gains were found for the control group rabbits and for the rabbits housed in deep litter, respectively.

#### Body weight

According to the above mentioned results the final liveweight of the animals reached its highest value in the control group and the lowest in pens, where deep litter was used (Figure 2).



LP large pen, SP small pen, W wire net, D deep litter, 8, 12, 16 stocking density (rabbits/m<sup>2</sup>), C control

Figure 2

Effect of pen size, floor type and stocking density on the liveweight of 11 weeks old growing rabbits *Einfluss von Käfiggröße, Bodengestaltung und Besatzdichte auf das 11-Wochengewicht* 

The liveweight of the rabbits reared in pens in deep litter was 49-138 g lower at 11 weeks of age, than that of the control animals, but the differences were not statistically proven (P>0.05).

#### Feed conversion ratio

In the case of the feed conversion ratio no significant differences were found between the experimental and control animals. The obtained values varied between 2.72 and 2.96 kg/kg calculated for the whole experimental period.

#### Slaughter

In the examined slaughter parameters no significant differences (P>0.05) were found between the experimental and control animals (Table 3).

Table 3

Effect of pen size, floor type and stocking density on the slaughter characteristics of growing rabbits *Einfluss von Käfiggröße, Bodengestaltung, und Belegungsdichte auf Schlachtmerkmale* 

|                        | Large pen       |       |             |       | Small pen |       |             |       |         |      |
|------------------------|-----------------|-------|-------------|-------|-----------|-------|-------------|-------|---------|------|
| Traits, %              | Wire net        |       | Deep litter |       | Wire net  |       | Deep litter |       | Control | SE   |
|                        | 12 <sup>1</sup> | 16    | 8           | 12    | 12        | 16    | 8           | 12    |         |      |
| Warm carcass           | 61.26           | 61.48 | 61.47       | 60.10 | 61.00     | 61.18 | 61.00       | 61.84 | 61.06   | 0.11 |
| Chilled carcass        | 59.61           | 59.62 | 59.70       | 58.33 | 59.09     | 59.33 | 59.23       | 59.89 | 59.39   | 0.10 |
| Fore part              | 25.12           | 25.18 | 25.09       | 24.87 | 25.19     | 25.27 | 24.86       | 25.06 | 25.00   | 0.06 |
| Intermediate part      | 26.01           | 26.00 | 25.98       | 25.97 | 26.13     | 26.02 | 25.90       | 26.06 | 26.35   | 0.06 |
| Hind part              | 30.82           | 31.30 | 31.49       | 31.57 | 31.53     | 30.85 | 31.57       | 31.30 | 30.92   | 0.07 |
| M. longissimus dorsi   | 9.73            | 9.84  | 9.87        | 9.78  | 10.03     | 9.83  | 9.87        | 10.19 | 10.02   | 0.04 |
| Head                   | 8.05            | 7.85  | 7.88        | 8.18  | 7.89      | 7.48  | 8.13        | 7.80  | 8.01    | 0.05 |
| Skin                   | 25.17           | 24.62 | 24.27       | 24.91 | 24.41     | 25.13 | 24.12       | 24.07 | 24.47   | 0.16 |
| Paws                   | 5.66            | 5.68  | 5.63        | 5.65  | 5.88      | 5.65  | 5.83        | 5.38  | 5.58    | 0.03 |
| Gastrointestinal tract | 27.97           | 28.15 | 29.13       | 31.68 | 29.36     | 28.36 | 30.21       | 28.44 | 29.56   | 0.26 |
| Heart and lungs        | 1.33            | 1.33  | 1.42        | 1.41  | 1.31      | 1.34  | 1.38        | 1.36  | 1.32    | 0.01 |
| Liver                  | 5.37            | 5.35  | 5.14        | 5.44  | 5.06      | 5.13  | 5.36        | 5.37  | 5.27    | 0.05 |
| Kidneys                | 1.01            | 1.05  | 1.04        | 1.06  | 1.03      | 1.05  | 1.21        | 1.04  | 1.07    | 0.01 |
| Abdominal fat          | 1.91            | 1.84  | 1.73        | 1.45  | 1.71      | 2.21  | 1.63        | 1.84  | 1.79    | 0.04 |
| Scapular fat           | 0.47            | 0.38  | 0.37        | 0.24  | 0.26      | 0.49  | 0.26        | 0.34  | 0.40    | 0.02 |

<sup>1</sup>Stocking density (rabbits/m<sup>2</sup>)

Comparing the results of this experiment with the literature data it was established that in the case of rabbits kept on wire net floor the frequencies of the analyzed behaviour forms were very similar to the findings of TROCINO *et al.* (2004). The only exception was the locomotory behaviour, which frequency was more than double in our study.

The observed frequencies for eating and resting were in accordance with the results of MORISSE and MAURICE (1997) and DAL BOSCO *et al.* (2002), while the frequency of the comfort behaviour was opposite to the findings of these authors. It was interesting to see that the lower frequency of the comfort behaviour was observed on the deep litter, which is continuously becoming soiled, compared to the wire net floor, which could be kept clean easily during the whole experimental period.

In this study the effect of floor type was not detected on the locomotory and social behaviours' frequency, while DAL BOSCO *et al.* (2002) reported that the frequency of these behaviours is significantly higher in rabbits reared on deep litter.

The higher frequency of resting on the wire net floor was anticipated based on the results of some previous studies (MORISSE *et al.* 1999, OROVA *et al.* 2004).

The similar feed consumption of the experimental and control animals in the present study is contrary to the results of some former experiments, where lower feed consumptions were found for rabbits reared on deep litter (DAL BOSCO *et al.* 2002, PRINCZ *et al.* 2005, TROCINO *et al.* 2008). In the feed conversion ratio better results were obtained for the rabbits kept in cages by MAERTENS and VAN HERCK (2000) and also by PRINCZ *et al.* (2005), but no significant differences were found between the experimental and control groups in this study.

Similarly to the present findings some other authors (DAL BOSCO *et al.* 2002, METZGER *et al.* 2003, TROCINO *et al.* 2008) have found lower daily weight gain for rabbits placed to deep litter, which resulted in a longer fattening period. KUSTOS *et al.* (2003b) detected also a lower daily weight gain for the rabbits reared on deep litter, but they found that the fattening period was increased only by 2-4 days in this case. This may be explained by the consumption of the litter materials of low nutritive value as found by MORISSE *et al.* (1999) and also by the present study.

The lower final liveweight of the rabbits kept in pens compared to those reared in cages was pointed out by VAN DER HORST *et al.* (1999) and also by MAERTENS and VAN HERCK (2000). Similarly to these findings in this study also the rabbits reared in cages reached the highest liveweight at 11 weeks of age, but the liveweight of the rabbits reared in pens was not significantly lower in our case.

In spite of the results of METZGER *et al.* (2003) the unfavourable effect of rearing on deep litter was not pointed out in the present experiment on the slaughter characteristics of the animals. However, it must be mentioned that the cited authors applied very different stocking densities in their experiment (8 rabbits/m<sup>2</sup> on the deep litter and 18 rabbits/m<sup>2</sup> on the wire net), which does not allow the correct comparison of the results of the two experiments.

From the ethological point of view it was concluded that housing rabbits in pens – particularly on deep litter – has a favourable effect on both of the social and stereotype behaviours' frequency. Although the pen's larger basic area offers enough place for improving the moving activity, it seems that the rabbits do not use this possibility neither on the deep litter nor on the wire net floor. When rearing rabbits on deep litter, some consumption of the litter material should be calculated, but it does not decrease the pellet consumption and the final liveweight of the animals significantly. Rearing in pens – either on deep litter or on wire net floor – has no significant effect on the slaughter characteristics of the growing rabbits.

#### References

- Blasco A, Ouhayoun J (1996) Harmonization of criteria and terminology in rabbit meat research. A revised proposal. World Rabbit Sci 4, 93-9
- Dal Bosco A, Castellini C, Mugnai C (2002) Rearing rabbits on a wire net floor or straw litter: behaviour, growth and meat qualitative traits. Livest Prod Sci 75, 149-56
- Dalle Zotte A, Princz Z, Matics Zs, Gerencsér Zs, Metzger Sz, Szendrő Zs (2008) Rabbits' preference for cages and pens with or without mirror. 9th World Rabbit Congress, 10-13 June 2008, Verona, Italy, 1165-9

Gunn D, Morton DB (1995) Inventory of the behaviour of New Zealand white rabbits in laboratory cages. Appl Anim Behav Sci 45, 277-92

- Hansen LT, Berthelsen H (2000) The effect of environmental enrichment on the behaviour of caged rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*). Appl Anim Behav Sci 68, 163-78
- Hoy St (2008) Guidelines for minimum standards on rabbit housing in Germany. 9th World Rabbit Congress, June 10-13, 2008, Verona, Italy, 1183-7
- Kustos K, Juhász Zs, Kovács D, Eiben Cs, Szendrő Zs (2003a) Effect of group size on production of growing rabbits on deep litter. 15th Hungarian Conference on Rabbit Production, 21 May 2003, Kaposvár, Hungary, 129-34 [in Hungarian]
- Kustos K, Tóbiás G, Kovács D, Eiben Cs, Szendrő Zs (2003b) Effect of stocking density, the material of botton and feeding on performance of growing rabbits. 15th Hungarian Conference on Rabbit Production, 21 May 2003, Kaposvár, Hungary, 123-8 [in Hungarian]
- Luzi F, Hoy St, Verga M (2006) Animal protection in housing and transport. In: Maertens L, Coudert P (eds) Recent Advances in Rabbit Sciences. ILVO, Melle, Belgium, 127-30
- Maertens L, Tuyttens F, Van Poucke E (2004) Grouphousing of broiler rabbits: performances in enriched vs barren pens. 8th World Rabbit Congress, 7-10 September 2004, Puebla City, Mexico, 1247-50
- Maertens L, Van Herck A (2000): Performance of weaned rabbits raised in pens or in classical cages: first results. World Rabbit Sci 8, 435-40
- Manteuffel G (2002) Central nervous regulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and its impact on fertility, immunity, metabolism and animal welfare a review. Arch Tierz 45, 575-95
- Manteuffel G, Puppe B (1997) Is it possible to judge the subjective perception of internal state in animals? A critical analysis from a scientific point of view. Arch Tierz 40, 109-21 [in German]
- Marai IFM, Rashwan AA (2003) Rabbits behaviour under modern commercial production conditions a review. Arch Tierz 46, 375-6
- Marai IFM, Rashwan AA (2004) Rabbits behavioural response to climatic and managerial conditions a review. Arch Tierz 47, 469-82
- Matics Zs, Nagy I, Biróné Németh E, Radnai I, Gerencsér Zs, Princz Z, Gyovai P, Szendrő Zs (2007) Examination of free choice of rabbit bucks among different cage-floors. 19th Hungarian Conference on Rabbit Production, 23 May 2007, Kaposvár, Hungary, 83-7 [in Hungarian]
- Metzger Sz, Kustos K, Szendrő Zs, Szabó A, Eiben Cs, Nagy I (2003) The effect of housing system on carcass traits and meat quality of rabbits. World Rabbit Sci 11, 1-11
- Morisse JP, Boilletot E, Martrenchar A (1999) Preference testing in intensively kept meat production rabbits for straw on wire grid floor. Appl Anim Behav Sci 64, 71-80
- Morisse JP, Maurice R (1996) Influence of stocking density on the behaviour in fattening rabbits kept in intensive conditions. 6th World Rabbit Congress, 9-12 July 1996, Toulouse, France, 425-9
- Morisse, J P, Maurice, R (1997): Influence of stocking density or group size on behaviour of fattening rabbits kept under intensive conditions. Appl Anim Behav Sci 54, 351-7
- Orova Z, Szendrő Zs, Matics Zs, Radnai I, Biró-Németh E (2004) Free choice of growing rabbits between deep litter and wire net floor in pens. 8th World Rabbit Congress, 7-10 September 2004, Puebla City, Mexico, 1263-5
- Princz Z, Nagy I, Biró-Németh E, Matics Zs, Szendrő Zs (2008a) Effect of gnawing sticks on the welfare of growing rabbits. 9th World Rabbit Congress, 10-13 June 2008, Verona, Italy, 1221-4
- Princz Z, Nagy I, Radnai I, Gerencsér Zs, Szendrő Zs (2008b) Effect of the floor type and stocking density on the productive performance of growing rabbits. 9th World Rabbit Congress, 10-13 June 2008, Verona, Italy, 1225-8
- Princz Z, Orova Z, Nagy I, Jordan D, Stuhec I, Luzi F, Verga M, Szendrő Zs (2007) Application of gnawing stick in rabbit housing. World Rabbit Sci 15, 29-36
- Princz Z, Romvári R, Szabó A, Metzger Sz, Radnai I, Biró-Németh E, Orova Z, Nagy I, Szendrő Zs (2006) Effect of the group size and stocking density on the productive performance, carcass traits, meat quality and welfare of growing rabbits. 18th Hungarian Conference on Rabbit Production, 24 May 2006, Kaposvár, Hungary, 159-64 [in Hungarian]
- Princz Z, Szendrő Zs, Dalle Zotte A, Radnai I, Biró-Németh E, Metzger Sz, Gyovai M, Orova Z (2005) Rearing and behaviour of growing rabbits under various housing conditions. 17th Hungarian
- Conference on Rabbit Production, 25 May 2005, Kaposvár, Hungary, 95-102 [in Hungarian]
- Sambraus HH (1997) Animal behaviour an indicator for species specific animal husbandry. Arch Tierz 40 Special Issue, 26-34 [in German]
- SPSS for Windows (1999) SPSS for Windows, ver 10.0, SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA
- Trocino A, Xiccato G, Majolini D, Fragkiadakis M (2008) Effect of cage floor and stocking density on growth performance and welfare of grouped-housed rabbits. 9th World Rabbit Congress, 10-13 June 2008, Verona, Italy, 1251-5

- Trocino A, Xiccato G, Queaque PI, Sartori A (2004) Group housing of growing rabbits: effect of stocking density and cage floor on performance, welfare and meat quality. 8th World Rabbit Congress, 7-10 September 2004, Puebla City, Mexico, 1277-82
- Tuchscherer M, Manteuffel G (2000) The effect of psycho stress on the immune system. Another reason for pursing animal welfare. Arch Tierz 43, 547-60 [in German]
- Van Der Horst F, Jehl N, Koel PF (1999) Effect of rearing method on growing performance and meat quality of Normance rabbits. 8émes Journ Rech Cunicole, Paris, 71-4 [in French]
- Verga M (2000) Intensive rabbit breeding and welfare: Development of research, trends and applications. 7th World Rabbit Congress, 4-7 July 2000, Valencia, Spain, Vol B, 491-509
- Verga M, Zingarelli I, Heinzl E, Ferrante V, Martino PA, Luzi F (2004) Effect of housing and environmental enrichment on performance and behaviour in fattening rabbits. 8th World Rabbit Congress, 7-10 September 2004, Puebla City, Mexico, 1283-8
- Zucca D, Heinzl E, Luzi F, Cardile H, Ricci C, Verga M (2008) Effect of environmental enrichment and group size on behaviour and production in fattening rabbits. 9th World Rabbit Congress, 10-13 June 2008, Verona, Italy, 1281-5

Received 2 June 2009, accepted 18 November 2009.

Corresponding author: GABRIELLA JEKKEL email: jekkel@citromail.hu Research Institut for Animal Breeding and Nutrition, Gesztenyés út 1, H-2053 Herceghalom, Hungary