RADOSLAV OMELKA $^1$ , DANA PEŠKOVIČOVÁ $^2$ , MONIKA MARTINIAKOVÁ $^3$ , MIROSLAV BAUER $^4$  and MÁRIA BAUEROVÁ $^1$ 

Effect of the estrogen receptor (ESR) and ryanodine receptor (RYR1) genes on reproductive traits of Slovak Large White, White Meaty and Landrace pigs (short communication)

#### **Abstract**

We investigated the effect of the estrogen receptor (*ESR*) and ryanodine receptor (*RYR1*) genes on total number of born (TNB), number of born alive (NBA) and number of weaned (NW) piglets in Large White (LW), White Meaty (WM) and Landrace (L) sows from six Slovak breeding farms. We found a significant effect of *ESR* locus on NW (P $\leq$ 0.01) in LW, however, we observed a negative effect of *BB* genotype on the trait. In WM, positive associations of *B* allele with TNB, NBA, NW were found but the differences were not confirmed statistically. A highly significant effect (P $\leq$ 0.01) of *ESR* locus on TNB (+0.62 $\pm$ 0.18 pigs per copy of *B* allele), NBA (+0.65 $\pm$ 0.18) and NW (+0.51 $\pm$ 0.16) was identified in L breed. With RYR1 gene we found significantly higher TNB and NBA in heterozygous WM sows (+1.01 $\pm$ 0.36 in TNB; +0.87 $\pm$ 0.32 in NBA; P $\leq$ 0.01). NBA was also significant in LW (+0.41 $\pm$ 0.19; P $\leq$ 0.05).

Key Words: estrogen receptor gene, ryanodine receptor gene, reproductive traits, litter size, pig

## Zusammenfassung

Titel der Arbeit: Einfluss des Östrogen- und des Ryanodinerezeptorgens (ESR bzw. RYR1) auf Fruchtbarkeitsmerkmale beim Slowakischen Edelschwein, Weiβen Fleischschwein und bei Landrasse Schweinen (Kurzmitteilung)

In der vorliegenden Studie wurde der Einfluss des Östrogenrezeptor- (ESR) und des Ryanodinrezeptorgens (RYR1) auf die Fruchtbarkeitsmerkmale insgesamt geborene (TNB), lebend geborene (NBA) und aufgezogene Ferkel (NW) bei Sauen der Rassen Edelschwein (LW), Weißes Fleischschwein (WM) und Landrasse (L), die aus sechs slowakischen Zuchtbetrieben stammten, untersucht. Es wurde ein signifikanter Einfluss des ESR-Lokus auf NW (P<0.01) in LW ermittelt, wobei jedoch ein negativer Effekt des Genotyps BB beobachtet wurde. In WM wurden positive Effekte des Allels B auf TNB, NBA und NW ermittelt, die jedoch statistisch nicht signifikant waren. Ein hochsignifikanter Einfluss (P<0.01) des ESR-Lokus auf TNB (+0.62±0.18 Ferkel pro Kopie des Allels B), NBA (+0.65±0.18) und NW (+0.51±0.16) wurde in der Landrasse ermittelt. Beim RYR1-Gen wurden signifikant höhere Werte für TNB und NBA in heterozygoten WM Sauen (+1.01±0.36 bei TNB; +0.87±0.32 bei NBA; P<0.01) gefunden. NBA war ebenfalls signifikant in LW (+0.41±0.19; P<0.05).

Schlüsselwörter: Östrogenrezeptorgen, Ryanodinrezeptorgen, Fruchtbarkeitsmerkmale, Wurfgröße, Schwein

## Introduction

Reproduction plays a key role in the successful production of farm animals. Selection for increased litter size is rather difficult because of low heritability of this trait. So, the identification of genes or genetic markers associated with reproductive traits in pigs could have a great economic impact on pork production. Such genetic polymorphisms could be employed in marker-assisted selection programs to improve reproductive efficiency.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Botany and Genetics, Constantine the Philosopher University, Nitra, Slovakia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Institute for Animal Breeding, Slovak Agricultural Research Center - Research Institute for Animal Production, Nitra, Slovakia

Department of Zoology and Anthropology, Constantine the Philosopher University, Nitra, Slovakia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Institute for Genetics and Reproduction of Farm Animals, Slovak Agricultural Research Center - Research Institute for Animal Production, Nitra, Slovakia

The estrogen receptor (*ESR*) gene has been identified as a major gene for litter size in Meishan and Large White breeds. ROTHSCHILD et al. (1996) described a polymorphism in intron 3 of the gene which produces two common alleles, A and B. The favourable *B* allele was associated with a first parity increase of 1.15 pigs per litter for each copy of the allele in Meishan crosses. In later parities, the effect of the B allele was about 0.5 pigs per litter. The B allele also segregates in Large White breed and the effect varies from 0.31 to 0.42 pigs per litter in first and later parities (SHORT et al., 1997). More recently, some studies revealed an effect of ESR gene also on semen characteristics of boars (TERMAN et al., 2006).

The ryanodine receptor (*RYR1*) gene is one of the most frequently studied markers in relationship to malignant hyperthermia syndrome (*MH*) in pigs. FUJII et al. (1991) detected C→T point mutation at position 1843 in the *RYR1* gene associated with *MH* in six swine breeds. However, pigs with mutated *RYR1-n* allele were shown to produce fewer piglets in a litter (KURYL and WRÓBLEWSKI, 1992). Better reproduction traits in sows resistant to stress were also reported in Large White and Landrace pigs (REINER et al., 1993).

The aim of our work was to determine frequencies of the *ESR* and *RYR1* genotypes and alleles and to estimate their associations with some reproductive traits in Large White, White Meaty and Landrace pigs from Slovakia.

#### Material and Methods

We analysed 155 sows of Large White (LW), 134 sows of White Meaty (WM) and 132 sows of Landrace (L) breeds from six Slovak nucleus herds. The groups of pigs consisted of nucleus herds and involved 37, 23 and 14 lines of LW, WM, and L, respectively. The samples were collected at random from the herds.

Genetic polymorphisms at *ESR* (*Pvu II*) and *RYR1* (*Hha I*) were genotyped simultaneously by multiplex PCR-RFLP method described by OMELKA et al. (2004). Allele and genotype frequencies were calculated and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was tested by comparing expected and observed genotype frequencies using a chi-square goodness of fit test. A total of 1664 litters from 421 sows (1<sup>st</sup> – 8<sup>th</sup> litters) were included in the litter size analyses in which following traits were recorded: total number of born (TNB), number of born alive (NBA) and number of weaned (NW) piglets. General statistics for all tested traits are given in Table 1.

The associations between *ESR*, *RYR1* genotypes and TNB, NBA, NW were evaluated for each breed and gene separately by linear model as follows (SAS® v. 8.2; 2002):

 $y_{ijklm} = GS_i + GB_j + PAR_k + hys_l + anim_m + b_1*agef_{ijklm} + b_2*agef_{ijklm}^2 + e_{ijklm}$  where y – trait value, GS – genotype of sow (ESR or RYR1), GB – genotype of mated boar (ESR or RYR1), PAR – parity effect, hys – random herd-year-season effect, anim – random effect of animal,  $b_1*agef_{ijklm} + b_2*agef_{ijklm}^2$  – linear and quadratic regression on age at farrowing and e – random error.

The variance components for random effects were estimated by MIXED procedure and REML method. Differences of Least Squares Means (LSM) were tested by Scheffe multiple range test. Linear model used in our study was close to the statistical model used in routine genetic evaluation of litter size traits in pigs in Slovakia. Additional effects of genotype (according to *ESR* and *RYR1* genes) were included in the model.

#### Results

According to the basic statistics (Table 1) WM breed showed the highest mean values of NBA and NW. TNB was found to be the best in LW.

The frequencies of the *ESR* and *RYR1* genotypes and alleles in tested pigs are given in Table 2. The loci were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in all tested herds excepting herd IV (absence of *ESR-BB* genotype) which was reflected in significant difference in whole WM population. The frequency of *ESR-B* allele, which is supposed to be positively associated with litter size (ROTHSCHILD et al., 1996; SHORT et al., 1997), was 0.33, 0.25 and 0.08 in LW, WM and L, respectively. With *RYR1* gene we found out very low frequencies of recessive *RYR1-n* allele. In LW and WM the *RYR1-nn* genotype was absent. The frequencies were 0.09, 0.13 and 0.16 in LW, WM and L, respectively.

Table 1 Basic statistics for all tested traits (Elementare Statistik für alle geprüften Merkmale)

	Large White (n=155; nL=640)			White Meaty (n=134; nL=489)				Landrace (n=132; nL=535)				
Trait	Mean	$S_{D}$	Min	Max	Mean	$S_{D}$	Min	Max	Mean	$S_{\mathrm{D}}$	Min	Max
TNB	11.93	1.55	6.00	18.00	11.68	1.34	4.00	18.00	10.46	0.48	5.00	15.00
NBA	10.95	0.99	4.00	17.00	11.12	1.34	4.00	16.00	10.10	0.48	5.00	14.00
NW	9.19	0.76	4.00	15.00	10.02	0.86	4.00	15.00	9.58	0.33	5.00	14.00

TNB - total number of born; NBA - number of born alive; NW - number of weaned piglets;  $S_D$  - standard deviation; Min - minimum value; Max - maximum value; nL - number of litters

Table 2 Frequencies of the *ESR* and *RYR1* genotypes and alleles in Large White (LW), White Meaty (WM) and Landrace (L) sows (Die Frequenzen des Genotyps ESR und RYR1 und des Allels bei Sauen der Rassen Edelschwein (LW), Weiβes Fleischschwein (WM) und Landrasse (L))

Breed	Herd	Number of sows	Genotypes (%)			$\chi^2$	Alleles	
			ESR-AA	ESR-AB	ESR-BB		ESR-A	ESR-B
			RYR1-NN	RYR1-Nn	RYR1-nn		RYR1-N	RYR1-n
LW	I	76	46.05	47.37	6.58		0.697	0.303
			81.58	18.42	0		0.908	0.092
	II	79	39.24	49.37	11.39		0.639	0.361
			82.28	17.72	0		0.911	0.089
	Total	155	42.58	48.39	9.03		0.668	0.332
			81.94	18.06	0		0.910	0.090
WM	III	65	46.15	49.23	4.62		0.708	0.292
			76.92	23.08	0		0.885	0.115
	IV	69	59.42	40.58	0	*	0.797	0.203
			72.46	27.54	0		0.862	0.138
	Total	134	52.99	44.78	2.23	*	0.754	0.246
			74.63	25.37	0		0.873	0.127
L	V	47	89.36	10.64	0		0.947	0.053
			70.21	27.66	2.13		0.840	0.160
	VI	85	81.18	18.82	0		0.906	0.094
			70.59	27.06	2.35		0.841	0.159
	Total	132	84.09	15.91	0		0.920	0.080
			70.45	27.27	2.28		0.841	0.159

 $\chi^2$  – chi-square test; \* P $\leq$ 0.05

Effects of the ESR genotypes on reproduction traits (least square means with standard errors) are presented in Table 3. There was a highly significant effect of ESR locus on NW (P $\le$ 0.01) in LW - we observed a negative effect of ESR-BB genotype on the trait. The ESR-AB genotype showed a tendency to improve other tested traits but the results were not statistically significant.

A highly significant effect of *ESR* locus on TNB, NBA and NW ( $P \le 0.01$ ) was identified in L breed. An increase of  $+0.62\pm0.18$  (TNB),  $+0.65\pm0.18$  (NBA) and  $+0.51\pm0.16$  (NW) pigs per copy of *ESR-B* allele was found. The *ESR-BB* genotype was not evaluated because of its absence.

Table 3
Effect of the *ESR* and *RYR1* genotypes (least square means ± standard error) on total number of born (TNB), number of born alive (NBA) and number of weaned (NW) piglets in Large White (LW), White Meaty (WM) and Landrace (L) (Einfluss des Östrogen- und des Ryanodinegenotyps (Mittelwerte) auf die Fruchtbarkeitsmerkmale insgesamt geborener (TNB), lebend geborener (NBA) und aufgezogener Ferkel (NW) bei Sauen der Rassen Edelschwein (LW), Weiβes Fleischschwein (WM) und Landrasse (L))

Breed	Genotype	NL	TNB (LSM $\pm$ S <sub>E</sub> )	$NBA \; (LSM \pm S_E)$	$NW \; (LSM \pm S_E)$
LW	ESR-AA	264	11.64±0.54	10.85±0.42	9.17±0.33 <sup>A</sup>
	ESR-AB	308	$12.04\pm0.54$	$11.03\pm0.41$	$9.19\pm0.33^{B}$
	ESR-BB	68	$11.88 \pm 0.62$	$10.89 \pm 0.47$	$8.35\pm0.37^{AB}$
	RYR1-NN	494	11.91±0.51	10.97±0.40 <sup>a</sup>	8.91±0.33
	RYR1-Nn	146	$12.35 \pm 0.54$	$11.38\pm0.41^{b}$	$9.17 \pm 0.34$
WM	ESR-AA	259	10.48±1.07	9.29±1.05	8.40±0.74
	ESR-AB	219	10.61±1.03	$9.29 \pm 1.01$	$8.58\pm0.72$
	ESR-BB	11	$10.73\pm1.18$	$9.42 \pm 1.03$	$8.63\pm0.83$
	RYR1-NN	337	9.82±1.03 <sup>A</sup>	8.42±1.00 <sup>A</sup>	8.16±0.72
	RYR1-Nn	152	$10.83\pm1.06^{B}$	$9.30\pm1.03^{B}$	$8.58\pm0.73$
L	ESR-AA	447	10.82±0.58 <sup>A</sup>	10.37±0.56 <sup>A</sup>	9.45±0.51 <sup>A</sup>
	ESR-AB	88	$11.43\pm0.59^{B}$	$11.02\pm0.57^{\mathrm{B}}$	$9.96\pm0.52^{B}$
	RYR1-NN	371	10.66±0.59	10.20±0.57	9.30±0.52
	RYR1-Nn	152	$10.59 \pm 0.62$	$10.04 \pm 0.60$	$9.05\pm0.54$
	RYR1-nn	12	$10.46 \pm 0.75$	$9.84 \pm 0.73$	8.70±0.65

NL – number of litters; small letters (a, b) denoted a significance difference  $P \le 0.05$ , capital letters (A, B) denoted a significance difference  $P \le 0.01$ 

*RYR1*-heterozygous LW and WM sows and *NN*-homozygous L sows showed the highest values of tested reproductive traits (Table 3). However, the differences between *RYR1-NN* and *RYR1-Nn* genotypes were significant only in LW ( $+0.41\pm0.19$  in NBA; P $\leq$ 0.05) and WM ( $+1.01\pm0.36$  in TNB;  $+0.87\pm0.32$  in NBA; P $\leq$ 0.01) in favour of sows with heterozygous genotype.

#### Discussion

In our study the frequency of favourable *ESR*-B allele decreased from LW to L pigs. The results in LW could be compared with data published by SHORT et al. (1997), who found much higher frequency of *ESR-B* allele ranging from 0.64 to 0.74. Data achieved in Landrace based breeds showed very low frequency of *ESR-B* allele (0.06; KMIEĆ et al., 2002; WANG et al., 2006) or no *Pvu II* polymorphism (DRÖGEMÜLLER et al., 1999, 2001). In the Czech Republic, the *ESR-B* allele frequency varies from 0.27 to 0.40 in LW (VRTKOVÁ and DVOŘÁK, 2001; MATOUŠEK et al., 2003) and from 0.02 to 0.13 in L (VRTKOVÁ and DVOŘÁK, 2001).

With *RYR1* gene we found out very low frequencies of *RYR1-n* allele, probably as a consequence of systematic selection. Because of the negative effect on meat quality the *RYR1-n* allele has been eliminated from herds and the frequency of the allele has been decreased (O' BRIEN et al., 1993). Our results can be therefore compared with

recent publications which show the *RYR1-n* allele frequency of 0.025 - 0.045 in LW (MATOUŠEK et al., 2003) and 0.216 in Polish Landrace (KMIEĆ et al., 2000).

Statistical evaluation of the data revealed some significant effects of *ESR* and *RYR1* genes on reproductive traits. There was a significant effect of *ESR* locus on NW ( $P \le 0.01$ ) in LW, however, in contrast with other studies (SHORT et al., 1997) we observed a negative effect of *ESR-BB* genotype on the trait. SHORT et al. (1997) reported a significant increase ( $P \le 0.01$ ) of TNB and NBA of 0.42 (0.31) and 0.39 (0.31) pigs per litter in first parity (later parities), respectively. In the Czech Republic, an increase of 0.14-1.75 (TNB) and 0.13-1.96 (NBA) was found in LW (MATOUŠEK et al., 2003). The results obtained with Landrace breed can be compared with the ones published by WANG et al. (2006). The authors found a significant difference between *AA* and *AB* genotypes with advantage of over 1 pig per litter on TNB and NBA. On the other hand, in study of KMIEĆ et al. (2002) the effect was statistically insignificant. In WM we could observe a positive association of *ESR-B* allele with TNB, NBA and NW but the differences were not confirmed statistically.

With *RYR1* gene we identified significant differences between *RYR1-NN* and *RYR1-Nn* genotypes in LW and WM in favour of sows with heterozygous genotype. NYSTRŐM and ANDERSSON (1993) found out the *RYR1-NN* sows significantly produced higher litter size (mainly NW) than stress-susceptible (*RYR1-nn*) sows. Similar results were found in studies of REINER et al. (1993) and KURYL and WRÓBLEWSKI (1992). On the other hand, MATOUŠEK et al. (2003) identified significant differences (P≤0.01) between *RYR1* genotypes in TNB in favour of sows (LW) with heterozygous genotype.

In general, the use of genetic markers associated with reproductive traits can lead to increased rates of genetic response and bring more economic profit to pig industry. However, the magnitude of the *ESR* and *RYR1* effects on reproductive traits varied in individual populations. Possibly the polymorphisms are in linkage disequilibrium with different alleles of the causative mutation(s). Furthermore, because different populations differ in genetic background, allelic effects may differ as a result of epistatic effects. Nevertheless, the results of our study showed the possibility of *ESR* and *RYR1* utilization in marker-assisted selection to increase litter size. *ESR-B* allele seems to be favourable, however, Large White homozygotes *BB* produce low NW. With *RYR1* we can note a favourable effect of *N* allele in Landrace, as well as allele n in Large White and White Meaty pigs. However, the number of analysed pigs was limited in our study. An examination of a larger sample population could bring a more conclusive evaluation of the *ESR* and *RYR1* effects on litter size in tested breeds. Anyway, the connection of the marker testing results with conventional information and with modern statistical methods can make the selection significantly more precise.

# Acknowledgement

We thank Dr. rer. nat. Jochen Wolf, DrSc. (Department of Genetics and Biometrics, Research Institute of Animal Production, Prague, Czech Republic) for German translation of the abstract. This study was supported by the grant VEGA 1/3539/06.

# References

DRÖGEMÜLLER, C.; HAMANN, H.; DISTL, O.:

Candidate gene markers for litter size in different German pig lines. J. Anim. Sci., **79** (2001), 2565-2570

DRÖGEMÜLLER, C.; HAMANN, H.; THIEVEN, U.; KRIETER, J.; DISTL, O.; HARLIZIUS, B.:

Influence of the genome region surrounding the estrogen receptor (ESR) gene on litter size in a German Landrace population. Arch. Tierz., Dummerstorf **42** (1999) Special Issue, 175-177

FUJII, J.; OTSU K.; ZORZATO, F.; DE LEON, S.; KHANNA, V.K.; WEILER, J.E.; O' BRIEN, P.J.; MAC LENNAN, D.H.:

Identification of a mutation in porcine ryanodine receptor associated with malignant hyperthermia. Science, **253** (1991), 448-451

KMIEĆ, M.; DVOŘÁK, J.; VRTKOVÁ, I.:

Study on a relation between estrogen receptor (ESR) gene polymorphism and some pig reproduction performance characters in Polish Landrace breed. Czech J. Anim. Sci., **47** (2002), 189-193

KURYL, J.; WRÓBLEWSKI, P.:

The effect of halotane-sensitivity gene (HAL<sup>n</sup>) in pigs on litter size, piglets live and rate of piglets survival to the age of 9-11 weeks. Anim. Sci. Pap. Rep., **9** (1992), 47-52

MATOUŠEK, V.; KERNEROVÁ, N.; KOLAŘÍKOVÁ, O.; KŘÍŽOVÁ, H.; URBAN, T.; VRTKOVÁ, I.: Effect of RYR1 and ESR genotypes on the fertility of sows of Large White breed in elite herds. Czech J. Anim. Sci., **48** (2003), 129-133

NYNSTRŐM, P.E.; ANDERSSON, K.:

Halotane gene effects on reproduction, production and organ weights in pigs. Acta Agric. Scand., 43 (1993), 201-206

O'BRIEN, P.J.; SHEN, H.; CORY, C.R.; ZHANG, X.:

Use of a DNA-based test for the mutation associated with porcine stress syndrome (malignant hyperthermia) in 10,000 breeding swine. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. J., **203** (1993), 824-851

OMELKA, R.; VAŠÍČEK, D.; MARTINIAKOVÁ, M.; BULLA, J.; BAUEROVÁ, M.:

Simultaneous detection of malignant hyperthermia and genetic predisposition for improved litter size in pigs by multiplex PCR-RFLP. Folia biologica, **52** (2004), 113-115

REINER, G.; HECHT, W.; HERZOG, A.; DZAPO, V.:

The reproductive performance of sows with different malignant hyperthermia syndrome status. Dtsch. Tierärztl. Wochenschr., **4** (1993), 144-146

ROTHSCHILD, M.F.; JACOBSON, C.; VASKE, D.A.; TUGGLE, C.K.; WANG, L.; SHORT, T.; ECKARDT, G.; SASAKI, S.; VINCENT, A.; MCLAREN, D.G.; SOUTHWOOD, O.; VAN DER STEEN, H.; MILEHAM, A.: PLASTOW, G.:

The estrogen receptor locus is associated with a major gene influencing litter size in pigs. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., **93** (1996), 201-205

SAS® v 8.2:

SAS Institute Inc., Cary, USA, 2002

SHORT, T.H.; ROTHSCHILD, M.F.; SOUTHWOOD, O.I.; MCLAREN, D.G.; DE VRIES, A.; VAN DER STEEN, H.; ECKARDT, G.R.; TUGGLE, C.K.; HELM, J.; VASKE, D.A.; MILEHAM, A.J.; PLASTOW, G.S.: Effect of estrogen receptor locus on reproduction and production traits in four commercial pig lines. J. Anim. Sci., **75** (1997), 3138-3142

TERMAN, A.; KMIEĆ, M.; POLASIK, D.:

Estrogen receptor gene (ESR) and semen characteristics of boars. Arch. Tierz., Dummerstorf **49** (2006), 71-76

VRTKOVÁ, I.; DVOŘÁK, J.:

Genetic variability in the *ESR* locus in pigs of the Landrace and Large White breeds kept in the Czech republic. Czech J. Anim. Sci., **46** (2001), 185-187

WANG, X.; WANG, A.; FU, J.; LIN, H.:

Effects of ESR1, FSHB and RBP4 genes on litter size in a Large White and Landrace Herd. Arch. Tierz., Dummerstorf **49** (2006), 64-70

Received: 2005-09-14 Accepted: 2006-05-18

Author's addresses

RNDr. RADOSLAV OMELKA\*, PhD.; RNDr. MONIKA MARTINIAKOVÁ, PhD.; Prof. RNDr. MÁRIA BAUEROVÁ, PhD.

Constantine the Philosopher University

Trieda A. Hlinku, SK-94974 NITRA, SLOVAKIA

\*Corresponding Author, E-mail: romelka@pobox.sk

Mgr. DANA PEŠKOVIČOVÁ, PhD.; RNDr. MIROSLAV BAUER, PhD. Slovak Agricultural Research Center - Research Institute for Animal Production Hlohovská 2, SK-94992 NITRA, SLOVAKIA